

Florida PALM Project

IV&V Assessment Report – June 2020

Deliverable IVV2.2

Presented by:

Information Services Group Public Sector

July 10, 2020

imagine your future®



Copyright © 2020, Information Services Group, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means, including information storage and retrieval devices or systems, without prior written permission from Information Services Group, Inc.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1 | IV&V MONTHLY ASSESSMENT | |
|---|---|----|
| | 1.1 Executive Summary | 1 |
| | 1.2 IV&V Overall Risk Summary | |
| | 1.3 IV&V Monthly Assessment Dashboard | 7 |
| 2 | IV&V DETAIL REPORTING | 9 |
| | 2.1 Project Risks | 9 |
| | 2.2 Project Issues | 10 |
| | 2.3 IV&V Detailed Assessment Scorecards | 12 |
| | 2.4 IV&V Other Activities | 29 |
| 3 | IV&V CONTACT INFORMATION | 30 |



1 IV&V Monthly Assessment

1.1 Executive Summary

ISG began independent verification and validation (IV&V) services for the Design, Development and Implementation phase (DDI) of the Florida PALM Project (Project) with the July 2018 reporting period. IV&V observations are made by participating in project meetings and deliverable review processes, examining project work products, and conducting IV&V specific interviews with the Project Team and stakeholders.

June 2020 IV&V Summary

Overall, the Project continues to be in a green status when measured against standardized project management criteria. IV&V assesses the Project to be in a yellow status in one area: Project Time Management. This is due to schedule risks described in this report and officially logged by the Project to ensure the risks are evaluated and managed on a regular basis.

In June, the Project completed three noteworthy deliverables that represent progress toward implementing the CMS replacement Wave of the Florida PALM solution. Those are D69 Testing Strategy, D81 CMS Wave Training Curriculum, and D465 CMS Wave Master Readiness Workplan.

D69 defines the testing strategy the Project will use to validate that the Florida PALM Solution works as designed and supports the State's business requirements. The Testing Strategy describes the activities and tools for each of the Project Testing Services to be delivered along with the expected deliverables out of each Testing Service. D69 is designed to guide the creation of detailed testing plans. Testing plan deliverables will document how the Project will prepare for and execute each Testing Service. This includes defining the specific objectives, scope, schedule, test cycles, test data, environments, tools, test team, and entry/exit criteria. IV&V observes D69 to be clear, logical, thorough in what it defines, and consistent with industry best practices.

D81 identifies the training courses to be created to support the functional and technical users of Florida PALM for the Cash Management System (CMS) Wave. Courses are identified based on the CMS Wave Business Process Models and their associated reporting functions. Learning objectives and corresponding training topics reflect what an end user will need to know and do in the new system. D81 also identifies planned training roles. IV&V observes D81 to be detailed and comprehensive in its approach and is multifaceted to achieve the training objectives.



D465, the updated MRW, is a standardized plan of activities and deadlines developed by the Project and provided to each agency. The Project creates task instructions for each item requiring agency action and communicates these to agencies via the regularly schedule interactions with the Project's Readiness Coordinators. The MRW can be added to and expanded in other ways by each organization to facilitate internal project practices. The standardized aspect of the MRW enables the Project to readily combine reports into a single, statewide master plan for agency readiness. The MRW milestones sync to the overall project schedule. IV&V observes the MRW to be detailed and consistent with industry best practices in terms of its scope and organization. The Project regularly communicates and provides guidance to agencies on the contents and expectations inherent to the MRW. These activities are further described later in this report.

IV&V continues to observe the review process for deliverables, in most cases, has improved in terms of the number of iterations and length of time it takes to assure quality prior to acceptance.

As of the end of June, four deliverables were in a late status. Those were D75 Conversion Extract Layouts, D76 Publish Interface Layouts, D80 Technical Architecture Documentation, and D499 Protected Data Inventory. The conversion and interface layouts incorporate the input and feedback from agencies and are not reported to be adversely affecting downstream work. As of the end of June, all of these had been submitted and was under review by the State for acceptance.

IV&V observes the quality of accepted deliverables continues to be high and the designs and plans to implement those present no immediate risk to the overall quality of the Florida PALM solution. Risks are presently concentrated on the project schedule as reported below.

Highlighted Schedule Risks

At the end of June, three factors affected the IV&V assessment of risk to the project schedule. First, the delay in completion of BPS functional design documents has caused downstream delays in the development of select RICEFW items, test designs, and OCM designs for training and user role mapping. Second, the combination of fiscal-year-end demand for resources within the Department and agencies statewide, and operational impacts of COVID-19, while largely mitigated within the Project, still present challenges to conducting analytical and readiness activities involving external resources. Third, there is some uncertainty that all enterprise partner systems (FFMIS/STMS) are aligned to complete work and be ready to test interfaces according to the project schedule:

| Functionality | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 |
|---------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|---------|----------|
| CMS | | | | | | | |
| Replacement | | 7/1/2021 | _ | | | | |
| Central FLAIR | | | (| <u> </u> | | | |
| Replacement | | | 7/1/2022 | | | | |
| Payroll FLAIR | | | | | 0 | | |
| Replacement | | | | | 1/1/2024 | | |
| Departmental | | | | | | | |
| FLAIR | | | | | 7/1/2024 | | |
| Replacement | | | | | | | |
| Phase 2 | | | | | | | |
| Expanded | | | | | | | 7/1/2026 |
| Functionality | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Begin CMS \ | Begin CMS Wave Interface | | | ral Wave Inte | erface | | |
| - | Testing October 2020 | | | y 2021 | | | |





IV&V observes the Project has logged Risk 211 to recognize schedule risk and has taken actions to prioritize the creation of functional design documents to maintain current downstream scheduled activities. Accenture has also begun to use functional designs after initial quality reviews and prior to final publication to begin build activities. The functional designs to RICEFW development dependencies are recognized as being on the critical path and are being managed accordingly.

IV&V observes the Department has made staff available as needed, and the Project has focused activities to minimize demand for resources, which have both contributed to continued Project productivity. DFS Division staff were able to fulfill requests and provide critical information to the Project throughout the reporting period, resulting in no loss of time.

IV&V observes that the impacts on enterprise partner systems differ for each Wave of the Project.

- CMS Wave represents the least impact on enterprise partner systems since it involves
 Peoplesoft functionality of treasury and cash management for deposits, accounts
 receivable for receipts, general ledger accounting and budgeting.
- Central Wave represents changes to the Chart of Accounts and interfaces for the relatively
 few organizations that interface directly into Central FLAIR. Central Wave also entails
 changes for agencies and enterprise partner systems receiving data directly from Central
 FLAIR as the new Chart of Accounts may change the data used to record expense and
 revenue postings, therefore requiring cross-walking of data inbound to and outbound
 from Florida PALM.
- Payroll Wave interfacing changes will be better understood when the Project begins assessment activities, but for now interface changes appear limited somewhat to interfacing with People First.
- Departmental Wave will require all agencies seeking to interface their systems with Florida PALM to adapt to the new Chart of Accounts and transaction data.

IV&V continues to assess collaborative efforts and management interactions between the Project and enterprise partner systems to identify alignment risks and points of clear commitment to the project schedule.

Engagement Activities

The Project and many agencies continued to perform work remotely due to COVID-19 workplace restrictions but conducted touchpoint meetings by teleconference or video conference with organization representatives to manage activities contained in the Master Readiness Workplan. In June, agencies were asked to complete MRW tasks relating to the CMS wave. Agencies also attended CMS Wave Change Impact Workshops conducted by the Project. The project worked to limit MRW tasks this month to allow agencies to focus on end of year activities.





IV&V observed agency touchpoints and noted the ongoing commitment to Florida PALM from the agencies throughout the transition to remote work and changes in operations. This commitment was further demonstrated in the agency-organized and facilitated Florida PALM Change Management Liaison meeting conducted the first week of June. This agency networking initiative provided an opportunity for agencies to share ideas, successes and lessons learned related to the project.

As part of the Change Impact Workshops, IV&V observed the project create and distribute tools and processes for agencies to identify, analyze and plan for CMS Wave change impacts to people, processes and technology within agency operations. Two iterations of the workshop were conducted, and all agencies were represented at one or both workshops.

Although the CMS wave represents less impact than subsequent Waves, these tools and resources can be leveraged for future waves with more impacts to all agencies. In addition, IV&V observed the Project's ability to effectively track status, understand the drivers for outstanding tasks, and assist the agencies, where possible, in completing MRW tasks.

During June, the Project continued to prepare for the CMS Wave. As part of this effort, the Knowledge Transfer and Training (KT&T) team completed training design work units and began building training material. In addition, the Project focused on ongoing knowledge transfer across the team.

Training design creation, peer review, and approval continued this month for the CMS wave. The project team completed training design work units as planned and prepared for training build activities. BPS and SDS teams were engaged for content validation and design review.

IV&V observed training design review sessions and found them collaborative across tracks. KT&T leadership provided comprehensive tools for work unit tracking and processes for iterative improvement.

IV&V observed KT&T supporting track managers in conducting knowledge transfer checkpoints and further developing Role Learning Plans (RLPs.) This is not only valuable in applying skill to future waves but in transitioning to operations.

The delayed completion of functional designs is causing delayed creation of OCM role mapping materials intended which may affect the scope of materials planned to be available for planned agency role mapping activities.

During June, the Project continued to communicate with the Florida PALM community through multiple channels including Florida PALM website, the Change Champion Network, and via the Florida PALM email account.

IV&V observed the Project updating communication channels with information relating to the CMS Wave, released MRW tasks, recorded system demonstrations, and agency-specific inquiries.



The following pages contain the IV&V monthly assessment in which ISG provides independent observations using an evidence-based rating method applied to fifteen standard project areas listed in the following table. Further information regarding ISG's IV&V methodology can be found in deliverable IVV2.1, the Florida PALM IV&V Management Plan.

| Project Areas | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| General Project Management | Project Scope Management | Project Time Management | | | | | |
| Project Cost Management | Project Quality Management | Project Human Resources | | | | | |
| Project Communications & Readiness | Project Change Control | System Capability | | | | | |
| Project Stakeholder Management | Project Risk & Issue Management | Project Procurement | | | | | |
| System and Acceptance Testing | Project Vendor Management | Project Training | | | | | |

The ratings are calculated using a five-point scoring range designed to objectively assess conditions in each project area based on evidence observed and examined. Each assessment is given a score between 1 and 5, with 1 being the highest severity and 5 to indicate no material findings.

| Assessment Scoring | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------|----------|----------|-------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Blank | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| Not Active | Catastrophic | Critical | Moderate | Minor | No Material Findings | | |

Ratings are applied to multiple tasks or deliverables in each project area to calculate an average score for the area. The resulting score for each area is used to determine a status based on the commonly used scale of green, yellow, or red, as defined in the table below and indicated by an icon for each project area in the IV&V Dashboard.

| Color | lcon | Description |
|--------|------------|---|
| Green | | The assessment category or area is on track without material issues. The Project Team should consider any recommendation offered by the IV&V Team as process improvement opportunities only. |
| Yellow | \Diamond | The assessment category or area faces a challenge or set of challenges that could, if left unmanaged, negatively impact the Project's outcome in terms of schedule, cost, or quality in the future. The Project Team should prioritize corrective action. |
| Red | | The assessment category or area faces a challenge or set of challenges that threatens the Project's outcome in terms of schedule, cost, or quality. The Project Team should take corrective action immediately. |
| White | \bigcirc | During the project life cycle some project areas may not have activities underway. Project areas not assessed will be reported using a white icon. |



1.2 IV&V Overall Risk Summary

| Overall Sta | tus | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| Current Period | Prior Period | Overall Trend | Observations Based on standardized IV&V scoring |
| | | ** | The DDI Phase has been rescheduled to adjust phases. Observed planning activities are consistent with the Project Management Plan and standard practices. As part of a multi-faceted organizational change management program, the Project regularly conducts proactive communications to stakeholders, including partner system organizations such as FFMIS/STMS and state agencies. The Project has not incurred major negative impacts to productivity during the COVID-19 emergency. However, risk of delays to some work has emerged as a result. The rescheduling provides extended time for many activities to be completed. This should enable the Project to secure commitment from enterprise partner system organizations and agencies to fulfill critical dependencies. Otherwise, there is a risk to the schedule. Risks and Issues are being managed proactively and with an appropriate sense of urgency. The Project is actively managing risk to execution of project activities and the implementation schedule. The risk of turnover in key positions remains, as the Project has experienced such, and the multi-year, multi-phase implementation approach increases the probability of occurrence. The project's managerial and reporting structure supports staff development, process definition, quality assurance, and other functions necessary for project success. Cost management and resource allocation are monitored closely by Track Managers and PMO. Quality control, financial control, and vendor processes are established and consistently executed. Collaboration between the State Project Team and Accenture Team continues to produce acceptable results. The Project trend is stable as work toward executing the statement of work and producing deliverables is proceeding according to plan. |



1.3 IV&V Monthly Assessment Dashboard

| IV | &V Dashboa | rd | CURRENT | PRIOR |
|----|--|--|----------|----------|
| | PROJECT AREA | PROJECT AREA DESCRIPTION | Rat | ing |
| 1 | General Project Management | Consistent and effective project management processes are being used and coordinated within the Project and with Project participants to achieve desired results. | | |
| 2 | Project Scope Management | Effective scope management practices are evident. | | |
| 3 | Project Time Management | The project schedule is at risk due to delays in completion of functional designs, potential conflicts in demand for external resources because of fiscal year end and year begin activities, operational impacts of COVID-19, and because of potential misalignment of development and test schedules with one of the enterprise system partners. | ♦ | ♦ |
| 4 | Project Cost Management | The Project is routinely estimating, budgeting, managing, and controlling costs. The current budget amendment release process presents a risk to project liquidity and/or compliance with prompt payment mandates. | | |
| 5 | Project Quality Management | The Project has defined quality measures and uses continuously improving processes to achieve intended results. The State expresses clear and thorough expectations for quality. Accenture responds positively to address concerns. | | |
| 6 | Project Stakeholder Management | The Project has identified key individuals, groups or organizations that could impact/be impacted by the Project and uses consistent outreach strategies to ensure stakeholder engagement on Project decisions, effective governance, and productive sponsorship participation. | | |
| 7 | Project Communications & Readiness | The Project team routinely identifies internal and external stakeholders and supports timely, appropriate and accessible communications. | | |
| 8 | Project Risk & Issue Management | The Project is effectively identifying, analyzing, prioritizing, and controlling project risks and issues. | | |
| 9 | Project Procurement Management | The Project is appropriately managing the acquisition of products and services needed from outside the project team and is effectively managing the resulting contracts over the life of the contract. | | |
| 10 | Project Vendor Management | The Project is monitoring vendors and subcontractors to confirm they meet all requirements and managing performance where needed. | | |
| 11 | Project Human Resource Management | The Project is acquiring, developing and managing appropriately skilled and adequately staffed project teams. | | |



| IV | IV&V Dashboard | | | | |
|----|---|---|-----|-----|--|
| | PROJECT AREA | PROJECT AREA DESCRIPTION | Rat | ing | |
| 12 | Project Change Control | The Project is appropriately managing the change request process and potential impacts on project scope, project objectives and goals, and implications to the overall project plan. | | | |
| 13 | System Capability Management | The Project is effectively communicating technical process and security requirements (and changes to requirements), managing configuration/development activities, controlling software and environments to support project life cycle. | | | |
| 14 | Project System and Acceptance Testing | The Project has fully staffed the Testing Team and continues to identify use cases to support the development of test scripts to evaluate and accept the system and supporting deliverables. | | | |
| 15 | Project Training Management | The Project has conducted the training needs assessment and has created the inventory of training curriculum for delivery of end-user training to successfully prepare employees for use of the new system. Training designs for the CMS wave are completed and training build activities have commenced. | | | |

Continued on next page.



2 IV&V Detail Reporting

2.1 Project Risks

Similar to last month's report, the assessment score for Project Time Management remains in a yellow status to reflect challenges presented to the Project Schedule.

A rating of yellow indicates a challenge that could, if left unmanaged, negatively impact the Project's outcome in terms of schedule, cost, or quality. The Project Team has prioritized corrective action, as described below.

| | PROJECT AREA | PROJECT AREA DESCRIPTION | RATING | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|------------|------------|--|
| | | | Current | Prior | |
| 3 | Project Time Management | The project schedule is at risk due to delays in completion of functional designs, potential conflicts in demand for external resources because of fiscal year end and year begin activities, operational impacts of COVID-19, and because of potential misalignment of development and test schedules with one of the enterprise system partners. | \Diamond | \Diamond | |

As reported in May, PMO has recognized schedule risk as well as potential mitigations and logged a risk in the Project Risk Log. As the discrete issues evolve with unique circumstances, the Project anticipates logging and managing them individually.

The Risk Log lists twenty-two (22) open risks in the following statuses as of June 30, 2020:

- Mitigating: Fourteen (14) are being actively mitigated by project management techniques, including the activities that address the risk directly or that enhance already planned activities to avoid or otherwise prevent the risk from affecting project outcomes.
- Monitoring: Seven (7) are being monitored to identify when their probability of affecting the Project increases to the point that mitigation is required.
- Under Evaluation: One (1) risk is being evaluated and awaiting plan development.

During June, seven (7) risks were removed from the Risk Log:

Risk 168: If there is an inability to obtain the ESC approval for D067 Ready for RICEFW Build Go/No-Go Decision, then it could cause delays in the Project.

IV&V observes that Risk 168 is closed as the Executive Steering Committee approved a Go Decision for D067.

Risk 202: Functional design work, that begins without a finalized RICEFW for CMS Wave, may or may not be deemed necessary, the project may have to determine how that work would be accounted for.

IV&V observes Risk 202 is closed as the RICEFW inventory for CMS Wave was finalized.





Risk 203: If the Project is impacted by COVID-19, then Project resources may be unable to complete assignments and contribute to project work.

IV&V observes Risk 203 is closed as the Telework agreement was extended to 6/30/20 and productivity of State staff has not adversely affected the completion of work.

Risk 206: Current COVID-19 restrictions within the local area could have an impact on team and agency engagement, along with workload management.

IV&V observes Risk 206 is closed as agency participation in activities and engagements with Florida PALM is meeting expectations.

Risk 208: If Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) requires assistance handling the influx of unemployment claims by the citizens of Florida due to COVID -19, the Project may experience delays creating training curriculum.

IV&V observes the training curriculum has been submitted, and Risk 208 is closed.

Risk 210: If there are delays in receiving the release of funds associated with the Q4 budget amendment past May 2020, the Florida PALM Project may not control sufficient funds to pay all invoices within the prompt payment window.

IV&V observes Risk 210 is closed as the Q4 budget amendment was finalized by the end of June.

Risk 212: The Division of Treasury Bloomberg AIM contract was not timely executed which will result in delays to interface design, build, and test.

IV&V notes the contract was executed at month's end, and Risk 212 is closed.

During June, one (1) new risk was logged:

Risk 215: The design requirements for several forms may exceed the technical limitations of the delivered forms capability.

IV&V notes Risk 215 is currently under evaluation as alternatives solutions are sought to address the design and content requirements of certain Treasury forms.

2.2 Project Issues

At the end of June there were no active Issues logged.

There were two (2) Issues closed during the month of June:

Issue 21: Some Contractor team members are not able to access secure bay to perform Project work.





IV&V understands that Issue 21 is closed, as efforts to establish remote workstations were successful and the impacted team members are working remotely.

Issue 22: Re-planning tasks were not completed by the baselined schedule date, causing delays in completion of the re-planning schedule.

IV&V understands all required meetings with tracks for the determination of tasks, resources and due dates, as well as the management commitment cycle, were completed, and Issue #22 is closed.

Continued on next page.



2.3 IV&V Detailed Assessment Scorecards

AREA: General Project Management

| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|--|-------|--|
| GPM - 1 | Verify and assess project management and organization, verify that lines of reporting and responsibility provide adequate technical and managerial oversight of the project. | 5 | The Project Director, Project Management Office, Track Managers and Team Leads regularly conduct joint and separate team meetings and demonstrate hierarchical support and accountability on activities, schedule and delivery. |
| GPM - 2 | Evaluate the project's system's change request and defect tracking processes. | 5 | All teams are observed to adhere to the prescribed change control processes for requirements, designs, and tools to be used to implement the solution. Change control decisions are made according to the prescribed process. |
| GPM - 3 | Verify the creation and approval of high-level plans. | 5 | PMO conducts regular planning sessions with Track Managers and Accenture to create the project plan and schedule and to commit resources to activities and deadlines. |
| GPM - 4 | Verify that a Project Management Plan and procedures are developed, communicated, followed, monitored and complete. | 4 | The DDI PMP is approved and updated as needed following the prescribed process. The Project is observed to manage critical path by means of select milestones aligned to ESC Go/No Go Decisions encompassing select Deliverables. The PMP should be updated to provide an explicit description of method used to manage the project's critical path beyond the use of Microsoft Project functionality. |



| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|--|-------|--|
| GPM - 5 | Verify project status is accurately traced against milestones and completion dates via project reports and metrics. | 5 | Deadlines and work progress are monitored and status is updated regularly by use of status reports, the project schedule, critical path stage gates / milestones, and detailed day-to-day inventories of select work units using hillclimber charts. |
| GPM - 6 | Verify use of a project issue tracking mechanism that documents issues as they arise, enables communication of issues to proper stakeholders, documents mitigation strategies as appropriate, and tracks the issue to closure. | 5 | The RAIDL process is in place and used to identify, vet, monitor and manage risks, action items, issues, decisions, and lessons learned on a recurring and regular basis. |
| GPM - 7 | Evaluate the effectiveness of the system's life-cycle development methodology (waterfall, agile, evolutionary spiral, rapid prototyping, incremental, etc.) and deployment process. | 4 | The project has undergone a comprehensive re-planning to shift from an approach that was phased incrementally both by functions and by agencies to one that is phased by functions. The new approach is more streamlined but retains an interim period of two years that will require temporary solutions and translation of legacy FLAIR data to new Florida PALM data to support business processing. There are inherent risks associated with this deployment approach. |
| GPM - 8 | Verify that the project's managerial and reporting structure supports training, process definition, independent quality assurance, configuration management, product evaluation, and any other functions critical for the project's success. | 5 | The project's managerial and reporting structure supports staff development, process definition, quality assurance, configuration management, and other functions necessary for project success. |
| GPM - 9 | Assess coordination and management to verify agencies and departments are not working independently of one another and following the communication plan. | 5 | The project has created a Change Champion Network to facilitate project-to-agencies and agencies-to-project communications. The CCN is also intended to foster agency-to-agency peer-to-peer communications. |



AREA: Project Time Management

| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|--|-------|---|
| TME - 1 | Evaluate the estimating and scheduling process of the project to assess if the project budget and resources are adequate for the work-breakdown structure and schedule. | 4 | By the end of June, the rescheduling effort to rephase the overall project was completed to support update and publication of the MRW to agencies to share deadlines, identify tasks and provide guidance. |
| TME - 2 | Review that project milestones are realistic and meaningful and aid in managing project performance. | 4 | Deliverable Expectation Documents are inclusive of timelines to inform the project schedule. Deliverable deadlines and milestones appear reasonable and realistic. Milestones are used to set and manage the critical path in a manual manner. |
| TME - 3 | Review schedules to verify that adequate time and resources are assigned for planning, development, review, testing and rework. | 3 | Agencies and enterprise partner systems (LAS-PBS, MFMP, People First, STMS) have not expressed objections to schedule details provided to date. Details provided include information needed to determine whether or not they are able to commit to the project schedule. The Department's new contract for investment data services was executed at the end of June and work with the vendor is expected to begin in early July. This presents a potential risk to the CMS Wave development and testing schedules and possibly to the overall CMS implementation schedule. As of the end of June, it was unclear whether the solicitation for the next generation of MFMP will affect planned activities for Central Wave or if the existing application will be modified to interface with Florida PALM for Central Wave. |
| TME - 4 | Evaluate that the project team is taking full advantage of time savings and resource flexibilities available in the project schedule, managing lead times and float. | 5 | The consistent attention paid to the project schedule results in increased awareness of planned activity starts and deadlines for completion. |
| TME - 5 | Examine relevant supporting data to determine if the project team has accurately estimated the time required for system configuration and/or software development efforts. | 4 | Some Deliverables have not been submitted or accepted within the originally scheduled time deadlines. To date this has not caused delays in configuration or development. The project's critical path has not been adversely affected. |



| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|---|-------|---|
| TME - 6 | Review Project Team meeting process for tight agendas focusing on proactive issues (e.g., problem solving, risk identification, meaningful analysis) and relevant progress reports. | 5 | Agendas are used and meetings are focused and productive. |

AREA: Project Scope Management

| AREA | TASK | SCORE | Comments |
|---------|--|-------|--|
| SCP - 1 | Verify that the project scope is clearly documented; look for items listed as out of scope. | 4 | Project scope as defined in the SOW is communicated and affirmed. No potentially out-of-scope items have emerged. The phased approach to implementation creates a prolonged dependency on FLAIR for the hybrid design to be in service between implementation of Central Wave and implementation of Departmental Wave. While the approach is designed to minimize changes to legacy systems, the implications of modifying FLAIR to support interim processing involves some risk. |
| SCP - 2 | Review the procedure/process to evaluate the impact of scope changes to the project, including trade-offs, is unambiguous, e.g. objectives, schedule, cost, resources. | 5 | Changes in Scope are adequately managed through Project Decisions and/or Project Change Requests. |
| SCP - 3 | Evaluate that assumptions made to support scope change requests are fully checked and vetted. | 5 | Project Decisions and/or Project Change Requests affecting project scope are fully vetted by subject matter experts and reviewed in Sponsor Meetings and as needed with the Executive Steering Committee according to criteria established in the governance structure. |
| SCP - 4 | Project sponsors and stakeholders demonstrate a clear understanding of scope control and participate in the scope control and management process. | 5 | The Project routinely takes a painstaking approach to sharing detailed information with stakeholders, sponsors, and governance entities. Attention to and absorption of such information by all parties has demonstrably improved over time. |
| SCP - 5 | Evaluate the thoroughness of scope control communications including project team, sponsors, and stakeholders. | 5 | The Project routinely takes a painstaking approach to communicating detailed information with stakeholders, sponsors, and governance entities. |



AREA: Project Cost Management

| AREA | TASK | SCORE | Comments |
|---------|---|-------|---|
| CST - 1 | Review and evaluate the Project Cost Management Plan to assess its reasonableness related to the project. | 5 | Project Management has consistently demonstrated attention to detail and cost management practices. |
| CST - 2 | Examine relevant supporting data to determine if the project team has accurately estimated the labor and cost of software deployment efforts. | 5 | Execution of planned work, fulfillment of deliverables and work products, and adherence to protocols have been evident without any indications that the levels of effort are greater or significantly less than available resources are able to accomplish. |
| CST - 3 | Review the basis for cost forecasts and assess their accuracy. | 4 | The original contract is fixed-price and fixed-duration. Contract amendment negotiations and amendment preparations are nearly complete. |
| CST - 4 | Verify that State staff are tasked to monitor project costs and the allocation of resources according to resource estimates and plans. | 5 | The Project Director's office and PMO team demonstrate regular prospective and actual cost analysis and management practices. |

AREA: Project Quality Management

| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|--|-------|---|
| QAL - 1 | Verify the project's Quality Assurance plans, and procedures are comprehensive and complete. | 5 | The Project has adopted quality assurance processes that increase vendor accountability and help to ensure quality upon delivery/submission. The Project has also established a well-organized State Testing team that has demonstrated insight, thoroughness, and a highly effective approach to engaging with Track teams and creating plans and supporting documents for test efforts. |



| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|--|-------|--|
| QAL - 2 | Verify QA is following the QA plan and procedures by performing checks of system documentation, and monitoring products produced by the project with formal reviews and sign-offs. | 5 | The collaborative review process combined with individual quality review steps are effective in detecting and resolving quality issues prior to final submission for acceptance. |
| QAL - 3 | Evaluate if appropriate mechanisms are in place for project self-evaluation and that measures are continually taken to improve the process. | 4 | Some deliverables have required significant efforts pre and post-submission to attain approval. Accenture pre-collaboration and pre-submission quality reviews need to be improved to make the prescribed process more efficient. However, in recent months IV&V observes fewer review cycles are required to achieve expected quality measures prior to deliverable acceptance. |
| QAL - 4 | Verify that QA has an appropriate level of independence to raise concerns, make recommendations on the project's Quality Assurance plans, procedures and organization and is acknowledged by project management. | 5 | No Material Findings |
| QAL - 5 | Verify that QA is monitoring all major development process definitions and standards for completeness, clarity, up-to-date, consistent in format, and easily available to project personnel. | 5 | The State Testing team is organized to monitor cross-track activities and changes to deliverables and work products that affect test planning, design, and execution. |
| QAL - 6 | Verify that the processes and standards are followed and compatible with each other and with the system development methodology. | 5 | There has been no indication of variances. Likewise, the Project overall adheres to a very disciplined approach and adherence to prescribed processes. |



AREA: Project Stakeholder Management

| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|--------|--|-------|--|
| STK -1 | Verify that executive sponsorship supports all changes that impact project objectives, cost, or schedule. | 5 | Sponsorship has steadily improved over the past six months. Sponsors are fully engaged, inquisitive, and active within their respective organizations. Sponsors generally demonstrate a high level of commitment to the Project. |
| STK -2 | Verify that there is a Project Governance Plan and an active Executive Steering Committee (ESC) whose role it is to oversee changes impacting project objectives, cost, or schedule. | 5 | The governance plan and ESC are in place and active on a regular basis. The individual members of the ESC express a common understanding of their role and expectations of work demands as approvers of major project deliverables. |
| STK -3 | Verify that project is in compliance with the State of Florida's Enterprise Architecture, Information Security and other IT policies and Guidelines | 5 | The Department has engaged fully in the process of planning and assessing the design of infrastructure, network connectivity, and security of the cloud-based solution. |
| STK -4 | Assess to assure stakeholder participation, support and commitment, and that open pathways of communication exist among all stakeholders. | 5 | Internal and external stakeholders are consistently communicated with, engaged in project activities and encouraged to contribute feedback as project plans and designs are shared. |
| STK -5 | Verify that stakeholder expectations match the project objectives and deliverables. | 4 | The Project regularly conducts proactive communication and interaction to stakeholders and uses stakeholder feedback for continuous improvement. Feedback from stakeholders indicates a high degree of satisfaction with project support. The Project needs to monitor continued support of agencies and enterprise partner systems. |



AREA: Project Communications Management

| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|---|-------|--|
| COM - 1 | Confirm communication plans and strategies are established, accessible and being used. | 5 | The Project continues to maintain a comprehensive, multi-channel communication planning log. The OCM team has created a comprehensive communications strategy. |
| COM - 2 | Verify communication plans and strategies are effective and support communications and work product sharing between all project stakeholders. | 4 | Stakeholder assessments have been used to gauge effectiveness. The Project routinely publishes and distributes targeted communications and makes materials available online. Agencies indicate they are consuming the information available and using it for internal planning purposes. Few agencies have indicated COVID-19 has affected their ability to dedicate resources to project activities. |
| COM - 3 | Review that mechanisms to capture informal communications and feedback are active and effective for informing project team of stakeholder and end-user needs. | 5 | The Project has established a Change Champion Network designed to channel informal communications to various agency-based liaisons prior to contacting Project resources directly. This helps to ensure ad hoc communications are received, treated by the appropriate resources, and responded to in a consistent manner. |

AREA: Project Risk Management

| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|--|-------|---|
| RSK - 1 | Verify that the project is managing project risk through plans and procedures to identify, quantify, report, log and act upon reducing risk through contingency plans and communication. | 5 | The project has a long-established process to manage risks and is consistently monitoring and assessing potential risks. The project to date has logged a small number of issues that have been resolved without negative impacts to the overall plan or objectives. More details on logged Risks are provided in Section 2 of the IV&V Monthly Assessment. |
| RSK - 2 | Verify that the project is managing project Issues and actively acting to resolve. | 5 | The project has a long-established process to manage issues and is consistently monitoring and assessing risks that present emergent issues. More details on logged Issues are provided in Section 2 of the IV&V Monthly Assessment. |



| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|---|-------|--|
| RSK - 3 | Verify that Risk Plans include indicators for executive commitment and support. | 5 | Risks are regularly reviewed with Executive Sponsors and the Executive Steering Committee. |
| RSK - 4 | Verify the Risk Plan recognizes multiple aspects of integrated project risk: processes, systems, organizations, culture and knowledge. | 5 | No Material Finding. |
| RSK - 5 | Verify the Risk Plan includes a review of technical components for quality factors including stability, availability, scalability, usability, security and extensibility and identifies specific risks in components. | 5 | Contractual performance standards address quality, stability, availability, scalability, usability, security, and extensibility. |

AREA: Project Procurement Management

| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|---|-------|---|
| PRC - 1 | An inventory of necessary services and resources for completion of the project has been created and maintained. | 4 | The re-planning effort has produced the need for contract amendments. As of the end of June, one of two have been executed. |
| PRC - 2 | Necessary timeframes and specifications for project resources are identified and monitored. | 5 | No Material Finding. |
| PRC - 3 | Vendors and suppliers are identified to provide resources that meet the criteria. | 5 | There is no anticipated need to change vendors or suppliers resulting from the re-planning effort. |



| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|---|-------|--|
| PRC - 4 | Contracts have been secured following State requirements and conditions for the selected vendors. | 5 | Contracts are in effect and compliant. |

AREA: Project Vendor Management

| TASK# | TASK | SCORE | Comments |
|---------|--|-------|---|
| VND - 1 | Verify the obligations of vendors (including sub- contractors) are clearly defined under the statement of work, requirements, standards, development milestones, acceptance criteria, delivery dates, etc.) | 5 | The Statement of Work is comprehensive. Roles and responsibilities are clear. The Project maintains a disciplined approach to the development of Deliverable Expectation Documents that include scope, timeframes, and acceptance criteria. |
| VND - 2 | Verify that vendor's services are delivered in a manner compatible with the project's standards and work environment. | 4 | Adaptation of vendor service delivery practices to meet project standards is an ongoing process. |
| VND - 3 | Verify the vendor has and maintains the required skills and resource capacity, procedures and supervisory standards to meet their commitments | 4 | Vendor resource issues require ongoing management to prevent risk. After a period of turnover in key positions, there has been no turnover in the current reporting period. |
| VND - 4 | Verify that any proprietary tools used by vendor do not restrict the future maintainability, portability, and reusability of the system. | 5 | Infrastructure and application designs have been reviewed by the State Project team and Department stakeholders. Decisions on the use of tools have been fully informed and vetted by State stakeholders. |



AREA: Project Human Resource Management

| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V Observations |
|---------|---|-------|--|
| HUM - 1 | Evaluate the project staffing plan and verify that adequate human resources will be available for project activities. | 4 | Over the past several months, Accenture has onboarded additional resources. Some of these resources are to backfill for staff inaccessible due to COVID-19. Accenture is reporting delays in the completion of functional designs. This is addressed in the Project Risk Log. No recent turnover in key positions or indications of any imminent turnover. |
| HUM - 2 | Verify skills and experience of project resources are adequate for the job assignments. | 5 | Track management and PMO demonstrate clear accountability and performance management practices are in place and exercised. |
| HUM - 3 | Verify the Project Team allows for staffing adjustments to schedule and cost e.g. learning curves when team members need to acquire new skills. | 5 | Project Staff are provided training and lead time as new skills are required. Staff are added to the schedule aligning with the need for resources. |
| HUM - 4 | Assess team morale and any potential effects on project progress. | 5 | Morale remains steady without evident issues. As COVID-19 causes extended telecommuting situations, the Project has taken steps to connect with staff and gauge morale. |

AREA: Project Change Control

| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|---|-------|--|
| CNG - 1 | Verify that a Change Control Plan is created with procedures to evaluate/approve change requests and verify they are developed, communicated, implemented, monitored, and complete. | 5 | Change control processes are included in the PMP and System Analysis and Design documents. |
| CNG - 2 | Verify that appropriate processes and tools are in place to manage system changes, including formal logging of change requests and the review, prioritization and timely scheduling of maintenance actions. | | Pending |



| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|---|-------|--|
| CNG - 3 | Verify that mechanisms are in place to prevent unauthorized changes being made to the system and to prevent authorized changes from being made to the wrong version. | | Pending |
| CNG - 4 | Verify that changes are communicated to stakeholders in advance of decisions, participation of stakeholders is sufficient to increase awareness of proposed changes and provide for adequate input. | 5 | The Project Change Control and Decision Processes adequately inform stakeholders and facilitate involvement with stakeholders as needed. |
| CNG - 5 | Review the change requests received by the Project and the documentation to approve/reject the change. | 5 | Project Change Requests are reviewed and approved by Project Management. |
| CNG - 6 | Assess the project's analysis of approved changes for reasonableness and impact to existing requirements, schedule, cost, quality and complexity. | 5 | Project Management adequately manages the Project Change Control Process. |

AREA: Project Capability Management

| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|---|-------|---|
| CAP - 1 | Assess the configuration management (CM) plans and procedures for clarity and completeness. | 5 | Configuration management controls are defined in deliverables and evident in daily project activities. |
| CAP - 2 | Evaluate the project's process and procedures for managing requirements. | 5 | The Project has confirmed mutual understanding of requirements and associated each requirement with functionality in the system to be used to fulfill the requirements. |



| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|--|-------|---|
| CAP - 3 | Verify that business/technical requirements can be traced through design and test phases to verify that the system is configured/developed and performs as intended. | 5 | The Requirements Traceability Matrix is designed to facilitate traceability to requirements and tests |
| CAP - 4 | Evaluate project policies and procedures for ensuring that the system is secure and that the privacy of client data is maintained. | 4 | The strategy for securing personally identifiable information (PII) and other protected data types is currently being developed. |
| CAP - 5 | Evaluate the project policies and procedures for security on user access to the system and data. | 5 | Standard Accenture procedures for assignment of user security can be adapted to comply with state requirements |
| CAP - 6 | Evaluate the project policies and procedures for security and risk analysis. | 5 | Accenture's use of Oracle Cloud Infrastructure has been evaluated by DFS OIT and the buildout and planned ongoing operations meet state requirements. |
| CAP - 7 | Verify that performance requirements (e.g., timing, response time and throughput) are completely specified. | 5 | System performance requirements are defined as part of the Service Levels Expectations deliverable. |
| CAP - 8 | Verify that user's maintenance requirements for the system are completely specified. | 5 | The contract stipulates maintenance agreements and includes State requirements. |
| CAP - 9 | Verify that all system interfaces are identified and specifications are defined. | 4 | The Project has provided standard interface layouts and is in the process of working with agencies and partner systems to finalize specifications for the CMS Wave. Work is underway on interface layouts for subsequent Waves. |



| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|----------|---|-------|--|
| CAP - 10 | Verify approved interface specifications are documented and available and that appropriate relationships (such as interface working groups) are in place with all agencies and organizations supporting the interfaces. | 4 | The Project has shared interface layouts and is in the process of working with interfacing entities to complete designs. Treasury's new contract for investment data services presents a timing challenge for which the Project has logged a Risk. |
| CAP - 11 | Verify that the processes and tools are in place to identify software versions within environments and to rebuild system configurations based on predecessors. | 5 | No Material Findings. |
| CAP - 12 | Verify that sufficient software instances and environments are available for training, test, and production and that formal control procedures are in place to avoid conflicting demands. | 5 | No Material Findings. |

AREA: Project Testing Management

| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|---|-------|---|
| TST - 1 | Evaluate the plans, environment, procedures, and acceptance criteria to be used for system testing of the software. | 5 | The State Testing team is organized and leveraging all available information to develop plans, scenarios, and test scripts. |
| TST - 2 | Evaluate interface testing plans and procedures for compliance with industry standards. | 5 | No Material Findings |
| TST - 3 | Review and evaluate cutover and go-live plan. | | Pending |



| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|----------|---|-------|---|
| TST - 4 | Acceptance criteria for each deliverable of business functionality and technical component must be defined, reviewed, and approved prior to test and the results of the test must be documented. Acceptance procedures must also address the process by which any software product that does not pass acceptance testing will be corrected. | 5 | Deliverable Expectation Documents have all included detailed acceptance criteria. |
| TST - 5 | Verify that a sufficient level of system test coverage is achieved by the test cases/scenarios and execution, that test results are verified, and that the test results are documented. | 5 | No Material Findings |
| TST - 6 | Verify that a sufficient level of integration test coverage is achieved by the test cases/scenarios and execution, that test results are verified, and that the test results are documented. | | Pending |
| TST - 7 | Verify that the test organization has an appropriate level of independence from the software configuration/development organization. | 5 | The State has organized a Testing team separate from the BPS/SDS tracks. |
| TST - 8 | Verify that a sufficient number and type of case scenarios are used to ensure comprehensive but manageable testing and that tests are run in a realistic, real-time environment. | 5 | CMS Wave System Test case scenarios are identified and appear to be comprehensive and manageable. |
| TST - 9 | Verify that test scripts are complete, with step-by-step procedures, required pre-existing events or triggers, and expected results. | 5 | CMS Wave System Test scripts are written in detail and appear sound in their sequencing and completeness. |
| TST - 10 | Verify that test results are verified, that the correct code configuration has been used, and that the test runs are appropriately documented, including formal logging of errors found in testing. | | Pending |



| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|----------|---|-------|-------------------|
| TST - 11 | Verify that appropriate acceptance testing based on the defined acceptance criteria is performed satisfactorily before acceptance of software products. | | Pending |
| TST - 12 | Verify that the acceptance test organization has an appropriate level of independence from the software configuration/development organization. | | Pending |

AREA: Project Training Management

| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|---|-------|---|
| TRN - 1 | Verify that all necessary policy, process and standards documentation is easily available to resources responsible for configuration/development of software. | 5 | Cross-track accessibility to information and coordination for assistance is in place. |
| TRN - 2 | Verify that all necessary policy, process, procedures and standards documentation is easily available to state systems administrators | | Pending |
| TRN - 3 | Assess curriculum and delivery plan for training to be provided to state system administrators. Verify sufficient knowledge transfer for maintenance and operation of the new system. | 5 | Training curriculum is under development. CMS Wave training units are in design. |
| TRN - 4 | Verify that training for users is instructor-led and hands- on and is directly related to the business process and required job skills. | 5 | The plan includes all facets. End-user training for CMS Wave is in design. |



| AREA | TASK | SCORE | IV&V OBSERVATIONS |
|---------|---|-------|---|
| TRN - 5 | Verify that training materials including policies and processes along with help desk services are easily available to all users. | | Pending |
| TRN - 6 | Verify that all training is given on-time and is evaluated and monitored for effectiveness, with remedial training provided as needed. | | Pending |
| TRN – 7 | Verify that developer/configuration training is technically adequate, appropriate for the development phase, and available at appropriate times. | 5 | The Project maintains an extensive program of Knowledge Transfer to ensure project staff are trained on how to maintain and update the system. |
| TRN - 8 | Verify that systems administrator training is technically adequate, appropriate for the development phase, and available at appropriate times. | 5 | The Project maintains an extensive program of Knowledge Transfer to ensure project staff are trained on how to operate and maintain the system. |
| TRN – 9 | Verify that training in using the contractor-supplied software is ongoing throughout the development process, especially if the software is to be turned over to state staff for operation. | | Pending |



2.4 IV&V Other Activities

During this period, IV&V observed and participated in activities involving the following deliverables as part of our ongoing role in the quality assurance process.

| Subject | Activities | Description |
|---------|--|--|
| D069 | Review and observations on deliverable | Testing Strategy |
| D075 | Review and observations on deliverable | Conversion Extract Layouts |
| D076 | Review and observations on deliverable | Published Interface Layouts |
| D080 | Review and observations on deliverable | Technical Architecture Documentation |
| D081 | Review and observations on deliverable | Training Curriculum |
| D113 | Review and observations on deliverable | Security and Technical Compliance Administration Guide |
| D122 | Review and observations on deliverable | Penetration Test Plan |
| D127 | Review and observations on deployment plan | Production Support Strategy |
| D172 | Review and observations on deliverable | Project Schedule |
| D465 | Review and observations on deliverable | CMS Wave Master Readiness Workplan |
| D465 | Review and observations on deliverable | Master Readiness Workplan |
| D499 | Review and observations on deliverable | Protected Data Inventory Plan |
| WP326 | Review and observations on work product | Production Support Roles & Responsibilities |





3 IV&V Contact Information

ISG (Information Services Group) (NASDAQ: III) is a leading global technology research and advisory firm. A trusted business partner to more than 700 clients, including 75 of the top 100 enterprises in the world, ISG is committed to helping corporations, public sector organizations, and service and technology providers achieve operational excellence and faster growth. The firm specializes in digital transformation services, including automation, cloud and data analytics; sourcing advisory; managed governance and risk services; network carrier services; technology strategy and operations design; change management; market intelligence and technology research and analysis. Founded in 2006, and based in Stamford, Conn., ISG employs more than 1,300 professionals operating in more than 20 countries—a global team known for its innovative thinking, market influence, deep industry and technology expertise, and world-class research and analytical capabilities based on the industry's most comprehensive market place data.

For questions regarding this report, please contact:

Mark Fairbank, ISG Director IV&V Project Manager Mark.Fairbank@isg-one.com

or

Nathan Frey, ISG Partner IV&V Principal Nathan.Frey@isg-one.com